Antidepressant activity of ethanol leaf extract of Zea mays
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Background Zea mays L. (Poaceae), also called maize or corn, is used for its nutritive value. Parts of the plant such as maize grains, leaves, cornsilk, stalk, and inflorescence are also employed in ethnomedicine. Warm tea made from the husk and leaf is taken in traditional medicine for the treatment of malaria, depression and other diseases.

Objective: Evaluation of antidepressant activity of the leaf extract was carried out to ascertain its ethnomedical uses.

Method: The ethanol leaf extract of Zea mays (170 - 510 mg/kg) was investigated for antidepressive activity in open field, forced swimming, and tail suspension tests using Swiss albino mice.

Results: The extract was found to significantly (p<0.05-0.01) increase the frequency of line crossing, rearing and walling activities of mice in open field test. The extract also decreased significantly (p<0.05-0.001) duration of immobility time of mice in forced swimming and tail suspension tests.

Conclusion: The results of this study suggest that leaf extract of Z. mays has antidepressant activity and this lay credence to its use in ethno-medicine for the treatment of depression.
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activities of the leaf extract is scarce. We report in this study the antidepressant activity of the leaf extract in mice.

2. Methods

2.1 Plant material

The fresh leaves of *Zea mays* were collected in August, 2015 at farmland in Uyo village in Uyo LGA, Akwa Ibom State, Nigeria. The leaves were identified and authenticated as *Zea mays* at the Department of Botany and Ecological studies, University of Uyo, Uyo, Nigeria. A herbarium specimen (FPH, 614) was deposited at the Faculty of Pharmacy Herbarium, University of Uyo, Uyo.

2.2 Extraction

The plant parts (leaves) were washed and air-dried on laboratory table for 2 weeks. The dried leaves were pulverized using a pestle and mortar. The powdered leaf was macerated in 95% ethanol for 72 hours. The liquid ethanol extract obtained by filtration was evaporated to dryness in a rotary evaporator 40°C. The extract was stored in a refrigerator at 4°C until used for experiment reported in this study.

2.3 Experimental Animals

The animals (Swiss albino mice) of either sex were used for these experiments. The animals were housed in standard cages and were maintained on a standard pelleted feed (Guinea feed) and water *ad libitum*. Permission and approval for animal studies were obtained from the College of Health Sciences Animal Ethics Committee, University of Uyo.

2.4 Evaluation of antidepressant activity

2.4.1 Open field test

Mice were randomly divided into groups of 5 mice each and treated as follows for 5 days before open field test; control (normal saline, 2 ml/kg *p.o.*), imipramine (5.0 mg/kg, *p.o.*) and ethanol leaf extract of *Zea mays* (170, 340, and 510 mg/kg, *p.o.*). The open-field arena was made of acrylic (transparent walls and black floor, 30 × 30 × 15 cm), divided into nine squares of equal areas. The open field was used to evaluate the exploratory activity of the animal (Archer, 1973). The observed parameters were the number of squares crossed (with the four paws) and number of walling and rearing activities, recorded for 5 min testing period.

2.4.2 Forced swimming test

Mice were randomly divided into groups of 5 mice each and treated as follows for 5 days before the behavioural test; control (normal saline, 2 ml/kg *p.o.*), imipramine (5.0 mg/kg, *p.o.*) and ethanol leaf extract of *Zea mays* (170, 340, and 510 mg/kg, *p.o.*). For assessing antidepressant activities, we employed the method described by Porsolt et al. (1977; 1978). The development of immobility when mice were placed inside an inescapable cylinder filled with water reflects the cessation of persistent escape-directed behavior. Briefly, mice were individually placed in a circular tank (46 cm tall × 20 cm in diameter) filled with tap water (25 ± 1°C) to a depth of 20 cm and left there for 5 min. During this period, the behavior of the animals was recorded by an observer. Mice were considered immobile when remained floating without struggling and making only slight movements necessary to maintain the head above the water.

2.4.3 Tail suspension test (TST)

Mice of either sex were randomly divided into groups of 5 mice each and treated as follows for 5 days before tail suspension test; control (normal saline, 2 ml/kg *p.o.*), imipramine (5.0 mg/kg, *p.o.*) and ethanol leaf extract of *Zea mays* (170, 340, and 510 mg/kg, *p.o.*). The total duration of immobility induced by tail suspension was measured according to the methods described by Steru et al., (1985). Briefly, mice both acoustically and visually isolated were suspended 50 cm above the floor by adhesive tape placed approximately 1 cm from the tip of the tail. Immobility time was recorded during a 6 min period. Mice were considered immobile only when they hung passively and were motionless.

2.5 Statistical analysis

Data obtained from this work were analyzed statistically using ANOVA (One-way) followed by a post test (Tukey-Kramer multiple comparison test). Differences between means were considered significant at 5% level of significance (p ≤ 0.05).

3. Results

Open field test

Administration of leaf extract of *Zea mays* (170 – 510 mg/kg) for 5 days caused significant (p<0.05 – 0.01) dose-dependent increase in the frequency of line crossing when compared to control. The standard drugs, imipramine (5 mg/kg), caused a significant (p<0.001) higher increase in the locomotor activity of the mice than the extract (170-150 mg/kg) as evident in the frequency of the line crossing (Table 1).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dose (mg/kg)</th>
<th>Frequency of Line Crossing</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0 (Control)</td>
<td>5 (p&lt;0.05)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>150</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>250</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>350</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>450</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>550</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>650</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>750</td>
<td>80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>850</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>950</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Zea mays leaf extract (170 – 510 mg/kg) caused prominent increase in walling frequency of the mice which was significant (p<0.05) when compared to control. These effects were dose-dependent. The effects of the extract in all doses administered were lower compared to that of the standard drug, imipramine. The standard drug, imipramine (5 mg/kg), produced a significant (p<0.001) increase in the walling frequency of the animals (Table 1).

The leaf extract of the *Zea mays* (170 – 510 mg/kg) caused significant (p<0.001) dose-dependent increase of the rearing frequency of mice administered with the extract for five days. However, these effects were lower compared to that of the standard drug, imipramine. Similarly, the standard drug, imipramine (5 mg/kg), exerted a significant (p<0.001) increase in the rearing frequency when compared to control (Table 1).

Effect on forced swimming test

Administration of the ethanol leaf extract of *Zea mays* (170 – 510 mg/kg) to mice for five days significantly (p<0.05-0.01) reduced immobility duration dose-
dependently in mice during force swimming test when it was compared to control. The standard drug, imipramine (5 mg/kg) similarly produced a significant (p<0.001) reduction in the immobility time of the mice when compared to control (Table 2). The effect of the highest dose of the extract (510 mg/kg) was comparable to that of the standard drug, imipramine.

**Table 1**: Effect of ethanol leaf extract of *Zea mays* on locomotive behavior of mice during open field test.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>Dose (mg/kg)</th>
<th>Line Crossing</th>
<th>Walling</th>
<th>Rearing</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Control normal saline</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>29.23±2.78</td>
<td>6.28 ± 1.30</td>
<td>2.21±0.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Imipramine</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>84.24±3.18b</td>
<td>24.30 ± 2.17a</td>
<td>7.20±0.15b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crude extract</td>
<td>170</td>
<td>68.95±2.76b</td>
<td>14.12 ± 1.34</td>
<td>6.25±0.25b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>340</td>
<td>76.34 ± 2.75b</td>
<td>22.45 ± 2.54a</td>
<td>7.24±1.45b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>510</td>
<td>81.38 ± 4.39b</td>
<td>30.14 ± 5.12</td>
<td>10.62±0.16</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data are expressed as MEAN ± SEM, Significant at *p* < 0.05, *b* < 0.001, when compared to control. (n=6).

**Table 2**: Effect of ethanol leaf extract of *Zea mays* on behavior of mice during forced swimming test.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>Dose (mg/kg)</th>
<th>Duration Of Immobility (Sec)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Control normal saline</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>120.24±6.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Imipramine</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>88.56±5.60c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Extract</td>
<td>170</td>
<td>101.22±6.55a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>340</td>
<td>95.14±4.28b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>510</td>
<td>90.27±6.29b</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data are expressed as MEAN ± SEM, Significant at *p* < 0.05, *b* < 0.01, *c* < 0.001, when compared to control. (n=6).

**Table 3**: Effect of ethanol leaf extract of *Zea mays* on behavior of mice during Tail climbing test.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>Dose (mg/kg)</th>
<th>Duration Of Immobility(Sec)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Control normal saline</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>120.10±6.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Imipramine</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>76.37±5.38b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crude extract</td>
<td>170</td>
<td>92.36±5.84a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>340</td>
<td>87.15±4.38b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>510</td>
<td>71.19±6.45b</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data are expressed as MEAN ± SEM, Significant at *p* <0.01; *b* < 0.001, when compared to control. (n=6).

**Effect on tail suspension test**

Administration of the ethanol leaf extract of *Zea mays* (170 – 510 mg/kg) to mice for five days significantly (p<0.001) reduced immobility duration dose-dependently during tail suspension test when it was compared to control.

The standard drug, imipramine (5 mg/kg), exerted a significant (p<0.001) reduction of the immobility time of the mice when compared to control (Table 3). The effect of the highest dose of the extract (510 mg/kg) was comparable to that of the standard drug, imipramine.

**4.0 Discussion**

In this study, evaluation of the effect of ethanol leaf extract of *Zea mays* on central nervous system was carried out in mice using different models; Open field test, tail suspension test and force swimming test. The leaf extract (170 – 510 mg/kg) was found to cause significant non-dose-dependent increases in the frequency of line crossing, walling and rearing activities of the pretreated mice. It also reduced significantly the immobility time of the mice in force swimming and tail suspension tests.

Monitoring of locomotor activity of animals has been used in assessing effect of drug on the CNS. An
increased movement is a measure of the level of excitability of the CNS (Ozturk et al, 1996) and its decrease may be intimately related to sedation resulting from depression of the CNS (Kolawole et al, 2007). Central nervous system stimulants are known to increase locomotor activity, while agents with depressant activity cause reduction in movements (Yadav et al, 2008). The leaf extract was found to increase significantly line crossing, walling and rearing activities during open field test suggesting stimulatory effect on the CNS.

The CNS stimulatory effect of the leaf extract was further supported by its potential to reduce immobility time of mice during force swimming and tail suspension tests. Forced swimming and tail suspension tests are two of the most commonly used animal models of depression for antidepressant screening. In the forced swimming test, the development of immobility when mice are placed into an inescapable cylinder of water reflects the cessation of persistent escape-directed behavior (Lucki, 1997). The tail suspension test is based on the fact that animals subjected to the short-term, inescapable stress of being suspended by their tail, will develop an immobile posture. Various antidepressants are able to reverse the immobility and promote the occurrence of escape related behavior. Both models of depression are widely used to screen new antidepressants (Porsolt et al, 1977, 1978; Steru et al, 1985). These tests are quite sensitive to major antidepressant drugs including tricyclics, serotonin-specific reuptake inhibitors, MAO inhibitors, and atypical antidepressant (Porsolt et al, 1977; Steru et al, 1985).

Forced swimming and tail suspension tests which represent the behavioural despair model, claimed to reproduce a condition similar to human depression (Porsolt et al, 1977; Willner, 1984; Steru et al, 1985). The tests are based on the observation that animals, following initial escape oriented movements, develop an immobile posture when placed in an inescapable chamber. The immobility is thought to reflect either a failure of persistence in escape-directed behaviour (i.e. behavioural despair) or the development of passive behaviour that disengages the animal from active forms of coping with stressful stimuli (Lucki, 1997). It is well known that clinically effective antidepressants (such as imipramine) typically increase the swimming efforts of the animal seeking a solution to the problem and, therefore, they decrease the duration of immobility in the forced swimming test (Porsolt et al, 1977). This was observed in this study.

Similarly, the results of this study suggest that the leaf extract exhibited significant antidepressant activity with a strong psychomotor stimulation. These findings corroborates that reported on corn husk extract by Okokon et al, (2016). Phytochemical constituents such as flavonoids have been implicated in antidepressant action on the CNS (Hossain et al, 2009), while polyphenols especially flavonoids like quercetin and rutin have also been reported to exhibit antidepressant effect (Nolder and Schotz, 2002). The leaf extract of Z. mays have been reported to contain some phenolics such as p-Hydroxycinnamic acid and polyunsaturated fatty acids such as 9-Octadecenoic acid (Z), 2-hydroxyethyl ester, ethyl 9,12,15- octadecatrienoate,9,12,15-Octadecatrien-1-ol, ethyl (9Z,12Z)-9,12-octadecadienoate and hexadecanoic acid, ethyl (Okokon et al, 2017). These polyunsaturated fatty acids are known to possess antidepressant activity (Naveen et al, 2013; Su et al, 2015). Omega-3 PUFAs have been suggested to provide a range of neurobiological activities through modulation of neurotransmitters, anti-inflammation, anti-oxidation and neuroplasticity thereby exerting antidepressant action (Su, 2008; Lu et al, 2010; Su, 2012; Su et al, 2015). These phytochemical constituents may be responsible for the observed activity of the leaf extract in this study.

5.0 Conclusion

The results of this study suggest that the leaf extract of Zea mays possess antidepressant activity which maybe due to the phytochemical compounds present in the leaf.
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